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## DESCRIPTION

The purpose of this algorithm is to determine the best subset of parameters to fit a linear regression under a least absolute value criterion. A complete description of the algorithm is given in [2]. The program consists of seven subroutines written in standard FORTRAN.

During the initial phases of data analysis it is frequently desirable to consider different mathematical model formulations. One common technique in linear regression analysis is to obtain the "best" model when including exactly $k$ independent variables. A generalization of this approach is to obtain the best subset for $k=p, p+1, \ldots, m$ parameters in the model, where $m$ is the total number of independent variables observed. The solution algorithms for this best subset problem are fairly well known when the least-squares criterion is used
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Table I. A Comparison of KBEST with SUBSET

|  | $n=275, \quad m=6$ |  | $n=250, \quad m=8$ |  | $n=200, \quad m=10$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SUBSET | KBEST | SUBSET | KBEST | SUBSET | KBEST |
| Best 1 to $m$ | 6.38 | 2.555 | 23.379 | 4.599 | 47.519 | 12.770 |
|  | 862 | 665 | 2610 | 1208 | 4992 | 2510 |
| Best 3 to $m$ | 4.026 | 1.404 | 17.795 | 3.633 | 44.746 | 11.596 |
|  | 488 | 327 | 1852 | 825 | 4368 | 1998 |
| Best 5 to $m$ | 2.258 | 0.456 | 9.102 | 0.988 | 20.007 | 6.678 |
|  | 234 | 58 | 991 | 56 | 1895 | 542 |
| Best 7 to $m$ | - | - | 3.153 | 0.290 | 4.437 | 2.372 |
|  |  |  | 291 | 22 | 476 | 108 |
| Best 9 to $m$ | - | - | - | - | 2.341 | 0.380 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 233 | 25 |

The upper number in each row is the CPU time in seconds; the lower number is the number of iterations required, $n$ is the number of observations, and $m$ is the number of parameters.
(see $[4,6,7]$ ). This paper presents a best subset algorithm when the evaluation criterion is least absolute values. Special purpose codes for other least absolute value problems are found in [1,3].

Let ( $x_{i 1}, x_{i 2}, \ldots, x_{i m}, y_{i}$ ), $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, be given. The least absolute value regression problem is to find $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{minimize}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{t}-\sum_{j \in J} x_{y} \beta_{J}\right|, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ is the index set of independent variables included in the model.
Charnes and Cooper [5] have shown that (1) is equivalent to the following linear-programming problem:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{minimize} & \sum_{\imath=1}^{n}\left(P_{i}+N_{\imath}\right)  \tag{2}\\
\text { subject to } & \sum_{J \in J} x_{\imath} \beta_{j}+P_{\imath}-N_{i}=y_{i},
\end{array}
$$

where $P_{t} \geq 0, N_{t} \geq 0$, and $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. The best subset for a given number of independent variables $k$ where $k \leq m$, is one which yields the minimum objective value of all possible subsets of $k$ variables from among the set of $m$ variables under consideration.

The algorithm presented uses a branch-and-bound technique to find the best subset regression. Each node of the solution tree corresponds to a linear programming problem of the form given by (2). This problem is solved using a specialpurpose revised simplex algorithm. A detailed description of the strategies used in developing the solution tree can be found in Armstrong and Kung [2].

An available option allows for specification of a percentage deviation from optimality. When the input parameter POPT is not equal to zero, the obtained subsets are only guaranteed to have a sum of absolute values within (100-POPT) percent of the optimal sum of absolute values. As was shown in [2], this option can provide significant savings in computer time at the expense of suboptimality
in some cases. Another option allows the user to force any of the parameters to be included in every model.

## COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS

The algorithm was tested together with the Narula and Wellington [8] computer code SUBSET, which is designed to solve the same problem. Several runs were made with randomly generated problems of various dimensions and the results are summarized in Table I. Sample problems taken from the literature on regression analysis were also solved with similar results. In terms of numerical accuracy, for the problems we solved, all objective values corresponded to seven digits. All runs were performed on the CDC Dual Cyber 170/750 computer with a sixty-bit word at the University of Texas at Austin Computation Center using an MNF compiler.
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## ALGORITHM

[A part of the listing is printed here. The complete listing is available from the ACM Algorithms Distribution Service (see page 215 for order form).]

[^1]
IN EVERY MODEL

- Algorithms
$\operatorname{ISTAT}(J)=$
0 OTHERWISE


## 2L REAL ARRAY

 (MMAX)OUTPUT: BEST OBJECTIVE VALUE FOR EACH SUBSET
ZL (J) GIVES THE BEST OBJECTIVE VALUES FOR THE SUBSET WITH M-J+1 PARAMETERS
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